

Example:-

One example of a row-reduced matrix is the $n \times n$ identity matrix I this is the $n \times n$ matrix defined by

$$I_{ij} = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Theorem:- 4

5 mark

Every $m \times n$ matrix over field F is row equivalent to a row reduced matrix.

Proof:-

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix over F . If every entry in the first row of A is zero, then condition (i) is satisfied in so far as row 1 is concerned.

If row 1 has a non-zero entry. Let k be the smallest integer j for which $A_{1j} \neq 0$.

Multiply row 1 by A_{1k}^{-1} and the condition (i) is satisfied with regard to row 1.

Now for each $i \geq 2$, add $(-A_{ik})$ times row 1 to row i . Now the leading non-zero entry is row 1 and every other entry in column k is 0.

Now consider the matrix which has resulted from above.

If some every entry in row 2 is 0 we do nothing to row 2. If some entries in row 2 different from zero by a scalar so that the zero leading non-zero entry is, 1.

In the event that row 1 and a leading non-zero entry in column k . This leading non-zero entry of row 2 cannot occur in column k say it occurs in column $k_r \neq k$.

By adding suitable multiples of row 2 to the various rows, we get

arrange that all entries in columns $k-1$ are 0, except that in row 2.

In carrying out these least ~~row~~ operation we will not change the entries of row 1 in columns $1 \dots k$ for will we change any entry of column.

If row 1 was identically 0, the operation with row 2 will not affect row 1.

Working with one row at a time in the above manner it is clear that in a finite number of steps we will arrive at a row reduced matrix.

Every $m \times n$ matrix over the field F is row equivalent to a row-reduced matrix.

Hence the theorem.

MATRIX MULTIPLICATION

Definition:-

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix over the field F and let B be an $n \times p$ matrix over the field F . The product AB is the $m \times p$ matrix...

whose c_{ij} entry is

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^n A_{in} B_{nj}$$

Example:-

(i) If I is $m \times m$ identity matrix and A is an $m \times n$ matrix $IA = A$.

(ii) If I is the $m \times m$ identity matrix and A is an $m \times n$ matrix $AI = A$.

(iii) If $O^{k,m}$ is the $k \times m$ zero matrix

$$O^{k,n} = O^{k,m}, \text{ w/y } AO^{n,p} = O^{m,p}$$

Theorem:-

If A, B, C are matrices over the field F such that the products BC and $A(BC)$ are defined then so are the product AB , $AB(C)$ and $A(BC) = (AB)C$

Proof:-

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $m \times n$ matrix.

Let $B = (b_{jk})$ be an $n \times p$ matrix and

$C = (c_{kl})$ be an $p \times q$ matrix.

$$B \times C = C_{p \times q} = BC_{n \times p}$$

Since BC is defined C is a matrix with p rows and BC has n rows because $A(BC)$ is defined where A is an $m \times n$ matrix.

Thus the product AB exist and is $m \times p$ matrix from which it follows that the product $(AB)C = m \times q$ exists.

To prove that $A(BC) = (AB)C$

It is enough to prove that,

$$[A(BC)]_{ij} = [(AB)C]_{ij} \text{ for each } i, j$$

$$[A(BC)]_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^n A_{ir} (BC)_{rj}$$

$$= \sum_r A_{ir} \sum_s B_{rs} C_{sj}$$

$$= \sum_r \sum_s A_{ir} B_{rs} C_{sj}$$

$$= \sum_s \sum_r A_{ir} B_{rs} C_{sj}$$

$$= \sum_s \left(\sum_r A_{ir} B_{rs} \right) C_{sj}$$

$$= \sum_s (AB)_{is} c_{sj}$$

$$[A(BC)]_{ij} = [(AB)C]_{ij}$$

Hence the proof.

Definition:

An $m \times n$ matrix is said to be an elementary matrix if it can be obtained from the $m \times m$ identity matrix by means of a single elementary row operation.

Example:-

A 2×2 elementary matrix is necessarily one of the following

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad c \neq 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \quad c \neq 0$$

Corollary:-

Let A and B be $m \times n$ matrices over the field F . iff then B is row-equivalent to A iff $B = PA$, where P is a product of $m \times m$ elementary matrices.

Proof:-

Let A and B be $m \times n$ matrices over the field F . Assume that $B = PA$ whose $P = E_s \dots E_2 E_1$ and the E_i are $m \times m$ elementary matrix.

Then $E_1 A$ is row-equivalent to A and $E_2(E_1 A)$ is row equivalent to $E_1 A$.
 $E_2 E_1 A$ is

Continuing in this way we get $(E_s \dots E_1$

A is row-equivalent to A

PA is row-equivalent to A

(ii) B is row-equivalent to A . Conversely assume that B is row-equivalent to A .
To prove that $B = PA$.

Row-reduced Echelon matrices:-

Definition:-

An $m \times n$ matrix R is called a row reduced echelon matrix if

(i) R is row reduced

(ii) every row of R which has all its entries zero.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -3 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem:- 5

Every $m \times n$ matrix A is row-equivalent to a row reduced echelon matrix.

Proof:-

W.K.T every matrix A is row equivalent to a row reduced matrix by theorem (4).

Hence by performing finite numbers of row interchanges on this row reduced matrix being it to row reduced echelon.

Theorem:- 6

If A is an $m \times n$ matrix and $m < n$ then the homogeneous system of this linear equation $AX = 0$ has a non-trivial solution.

Proof:-

Let R be a row-reduced echelon matrix which is row equivalent to A .

Then the system $AX = 0$ and $RX = 0$ have the same solution.

If v is the numbers of non-zero

rows in $1 \leq i \leq m$ certainly $r \leq m$ and
 $r \leq m$, $m < n$, $r < n$, since $m < n$ and
 from $r < n$.

Let us leading non-zero entry
 of in the column k :

The system $PX=0$ then consists
 of r non-trivial equations.

Also the unknown x_k will occur
 only in the i th equation.

If we let u_1, \dots, u_{n-r} denote
 the $(n-r)$ unknowns which are different
 from x_k, \dots, x_{k_r} then the r non-
 trivial equations in $PX=0$ are of
 the form -

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_k + \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} a_{ij} u_j &= 0 \\ x_k + \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} c_{ij} u_j &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{--- (1)}$$

All the solutions to the system
 of equations $PX=0$ are obtained

by assigning any values what so ever
to u_1, \dots, u_{n-r} and then computing the
corresponding values of x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_r}
for (1).

(ii) $Px = 0$ has a non-trivial solution

or $Ax = 0$ has a non-trivial solution

Hence the theorem.