

EX:-

4. In Ex. 3 to find the minimal polynomial for the operator in Ex. 3.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -6 & -6 \\ -1 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & -6 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution:-

In Ex. 3 we found that T is a diagonalizable with characteristic polynomial

$$f(x) = (x-1)(x-2)^2$$

By a note a minimal polynomial for T

$$\text{is } p(x) = (x-1)(x-2).$$

To find the minimal polynomial for the operator in Ex. 2.

Solution:-

Ex. 2. We found that T is not diagonalizable with characteristic polynomial.

Since T is not diagonalizable then the minimal polynomial for T is of the form

$$(x-1)^k (x-2)^l, \quad k \geq 1, \quad l \geq 1.$$

But the minimal polynomial the degree at least 3.

From $(x-1)(x-2)^2$ we got the characteristic polynomial thus the minimal polynomial is the characteristic polynomial.

Cayley-Hamilton Theorem:-

Let T be a linear operator on a n -dimensional vector space V . If f is the characteristic polynomial for T then $f(T) = 0$, in other words, the minimal polynomial divides the characteristic polynomial for T .

Proof:-

Given (i) V is a finite dimension space. (ii) T is linear operator on V . (iii) f characteristic polynomial

$$\text{To P.T } f(T) = 0.$$

Let K be the commutative ring with identity consisting of all polynomials in x with coefficients in F . If K is a commutative algebra with identity over the scalar field F .

Choose an ordered basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ for V .

Let A be the matrix which represents T relative to the basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$.

in the given basis. Then

$$T\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ji} \alpha_i, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

This eqn may be written in the equivalent form,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{ij} T\alpha_j = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ji} T\alpha_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} T - A_{ji} I) \alpha_j = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Let B denote the element of $K^{n \times n}$ with

entries $B_{ij} = \delta_{ij} T - A_{ji} I.$

If $n=2$,

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{11} T - A_{11} I & \delta_{21} T - A_{21} I \\ \delta_{12} T - A_{12} I & \delta_{22} T - A_{22} I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot T - A_{11} I & 0 \cdot T - A_{21} I \\ 0 \cdot T - A_{12} I & 1 \cdot T - A_{22} I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} T - A_{11} I & -A_{21} I \\ -A_{12} I & T - A_{22} I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det B = \begin{vmatrix} T - A_{11} I & -A_{21} I \\ -A_{12} I & T - A_{22} I \end{vmatrix}$$

(33)

$$\begin{aligned} &= (T - A_{11} I)(T - A_{22} I) - A_{12} A_{21} I \\ &= T^2 - A_{11} T - A_{22} T + A_{11} A_{22} I - A_{12} A_{21} I \\ &= T^2 - (A_{11} + A_{22}) T + (A_{11} A_{22} - A_{12} A_{21}) I \\ &= f(T) \end{aligned}$$

where f is the characteristic polynomial

$$f = x^2 - (\text{trace } A)x + \det A$$

When $n > 2$, it is also clear that

$$\det B = f(T).$$

Since f is the determinant of the matrix

$xI - A$ whose entries are the polynomials

$$(xI - A)_{ij} = \delta_{ij} x - A_{ji}$$

$$\text{no } \phi(T) f(T) = 0.$$

Let $\phi(T)$ be the zero operator.

It is necessary and sufficient that

$$(\det B)_{\alpha_k} = 0 \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

By the defn of B, the vectors $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$

satisfy the eqns

$$\sum_{j=1}^n B_{ij} \alpha_j = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

(31) When $n=2$, $\begin{bmatrix} \tau - A_{11}I & -A_{21}I \\ -A_{12}I & \tau - A_{22}I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (32)

In this case, the classical adjoint, $\text{adj } B$ is the matrix

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau - A_{22}I & A_{21}I \\ A_{12}I & \tau - A_{11}I \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\tilde{B}B = \begin{bmatrix} \tau - A_{22}I & A_{21}I \\ A_{12}I & \tau - A_{11}I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau - A_{11}I & -A_{21}I \\ -A_{12}I & \tau - A_{22}I \end{bmatrix}$

$$= (\tau - A_{22}I)(\tau - A_{11}I) - (A_{21}I)(A_{12}I)$$

$$\left[(\tau - A_{11}I)(\tau - A_{22}I) - (-A_{21}I)(-A_{12}I) \right]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \det B & 0 \\ 0 & \det B \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \det B \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= B \tilde{B} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \tilde{B} \left(B \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \tilde{B} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ by (2)}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In the general case, let $\tilde{B} = \text{adj } B$

by (1) $\sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_{ki} B_{ij} \alpha_j = 0$ for each k

and summing on i , we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_{ki} B_{ij} \alpha_j$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{B}_{ki} B_{ij} \right) \alpha_j \quad (3)$$

Now $\tilde{B}B = \begin{bmatrix} \det B & 0 \\ 0 & \det B \end{bmatrix}$

$$= \det B \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \det B I$$

So that $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{B}_{ki} B_{ij} = \delta_{kj} \det B$

$$(3) \Rightarrow 0 = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{kj} (\det B) \alpha_j$$

$$0 = (\det B) \alpha_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

$\alpha_k \neq 0$

Hence the minimal polynomial is $p(x)$,
 $x(x+2)$, $x(x-2)$, ~~$x(x^2-4)$~~ , (x^2-4) .

The minimal polynomial is not of degree
 2 because $A^2 \neq -2A$, $A^2 \neq 2A$, $A^2 \neq 4I$.

$\therefore p(x)$ is the minimal polynomial for A .

In particular $0, 2, -2$ are the characteristic
 values of A .

\therefore One of the factors $x, x-2, x+2$ must
 be repeated twice in the characteristic
 polynomial.

Clearly $\text{rank}(A) = 2$. Consequently there is a
 two dimensional space of characteristic vectors
 associated with the characteristic value zero.

By a theorem, the characteristic polynomial
 of $A = x p(x)$

$$= x(x^2-4x) = x^4 - 4x^2 \quad ||$$

6.6 DIRECT-SUM DECOMPOSITIONS

Defn:-

Let W_1, \dots, W_k be subspaces of the vector
 space V . We say that W_1, \dots, W_k are independent

if $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k = 0$, $\alpha_i \in W_i$.

\Rightarrow each α_i is 0 .

NOTE:-

For $k=2$, the meaning of independence
 is $\{0\}$ intersection. If W_1 and W_2 are independent

$$\Leftrightarrow W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$$

If $k > 2$, the independence of W_1, \dots, W_k
 means more than $W_1 \cap \dots \cap W_k = \{0\}$.

Each W_i intersects the sum of the other
 subspaces W_j only in the zero vector.

Remark:-

1. If W_1, \dots, W_k are independent, then $\alpha \in W$
 be uniquely expressed as $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k$, $\alpha_i \in W_i$.

Proof:-

Given that W_1, \dots, W_k are independent

let $W = W_1 + \dots + W_k$ be the subspace spanned
 by W_1, \dots, W_k .

$$\therefore \alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k, \alpha_i \in W_i$$

Also let $\alpha = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k$, $\beta_i \in W_i$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k$$

$$\Rightarrow (\alpha_1 - \beta_1) + (\alpha_2 - \beta_2) + \dots + (\alpha_k - \beta_k) = 0, \alpha_i - \beta_i \in W_i$$

$\because W_i$ is a subspace

(10) $\rightarrow \alpha_i = \beta_i$ (since N_1, \dots, N_k are independent)

$\therefore \alpha$ is unique.

Lemma: \Rightarrow

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space. Let N_1, \dots, N_k be subspaces of V and let $W = N_1 + \dots + N_k$. The following are equivalent.

(a) N_1, \dots, N_k are independent.

(b) For each j , $2 \leq j \leq k$, we have

$$N_j \cap (N_1 + \dots + N_{j-1}) = \{0\}.$$

(c) If B_i is an ordered basis for N_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$,

then the sequence $B = (B_1, \dots, B_k)$ is an ordered basis for W .

Proof: \Rightarrow (a) \Rightarrow (b): \Rightarrow

Assume that N_1, \dots, N_k are independent.

Let α be a vector in the intersection

$$N_j \cap (N_1 + \dots + N_{j-1}).$$

$$\text{if } \alpha \in N_j \cap (N_1 + \dots + N_{j-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \in N_j \text{ and } \alpha \in (N_1 + \dots + N_{j-1}).$$

Then there are vectors $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}$ with $\alpha_i \in N_i$

(11)

since $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{j-1} + (-\alpha) + 0 + \dots + 0 = 0$.

since N_1, N_2, \dots, N_k are independent, it must be that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_{j-1} = -\alpha = 0$.

$$\therefore N_j \cap (N_1 + \dots + N_{j-1}) = \{0\}$$

\therefore (a) \Rightarrow (b).

Now to prove (b) \Rightarrow (a).

Suppose $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k = 0$, $\alpha_i \in N_i$.

Let j be the largest integer i such that $\alpha_i \neq 0$. Then $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j = 0$, $\alpha_j \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_j = -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \dots - \alpha_{j-1} \text{ is a non-zero}$$

vector in $N_j \cap (N_1 + \dots + N_{j-1})$.

$$\text{if } \alpha_j \in N_j \text{ and } \alpha_j \in (N_1 + \dots + N_{j-1})$$

$$\therefore \alpha_j = 0.$$

which is a contradiction.

Hence each $\alpha_i = 0$

$\therefore N_1, \dots, N_k$ are independent.

(b) \Rightarrow (a).

Now to prove (a) \Rightarrow (c).

Assume that N_1, \dots, N_k are independent.

(12)

Let B_i be a basis for W_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, and let $B = (B_1, \dots, B_k)$.

Any linear relation between the vectors in B will have the form $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k = 0$ where β_i is some linear combination of the vectors in B_i .

Since W_1, \dots, W_k are independent each $\beta_i = 0$. Since each B_i is independent.

$\therefore B$ is a basis for W .

$\therefore (a) \Rightarrow (c)$.

To prove $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$:-

Let B_i is an ordered basis for W_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ then the sequence $B = (B_1, \dots, B_k)$ is an ordered basis for W .

Since $W = W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_k$.

Any $w \in W$ can be written as $w = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k$ where $\beta_i \in B_i$. ($\because B_i$ is an ordered basis for W_i and B is a ordered basis for W).

$$W=0 \Rightarrow \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_i = 0, \forall i$$

$\Rightarrow w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k$ are independent.

Z
null
space
of
T
= $W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_k$

Defn:-

If V is a vector space, a projection is a linear operator E on V such that $E^2 = E$.

(13)

NOTE:-

suppose that E is a projection. let R be range of E and let N be the null space of E .

(i) The vector β is in the range of $E \iff \beta = E\alpha$

Proof:-

$$\text{If } \beta = E\alpha \text{ then } E\beta = E(E\alpha) = E^2\alpha = E\alpha = \beta \Rightarrow E\beta = \beta$$

conversely, if $\beta = E\beta$, then β is in the range of E .

(ii)

$$V = R \oplus N$$

(iii) The unique expression for α as a sum of vectors in R and N is $\alpha = E\alpha + (\alpha - E\alpha)$

Defn:-

If R and N are subspaces of V such that $V = R \oplus N$, there is one and only one linear operator E which has range R and null space N . That operator is called the projection onto R along N .

Theorem:-9.

(14)

If $V = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_k$, then the linear operator E_1, \dots, E_k on V such that E_i is the projection onto W_i along W_j for $j \neq i$.

Part II: We assume that the minimal polynomial for T is of the form

$p(x) = (x - c_1) \dots (x - c_k)$ where c_1, \dots, c_k are distinct elements of F .

T is diagonalizable.

Let W be the subspace spanned by all of the characteristic vectors of T .

Suppose $W \neq V$.

By known lemma, there is a vector α not in W and a characteristic value c_j of T such that the vector $\beta = (T - c_j I)\alpha$ lies in W .

Since β is in W then,

$$\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_k$$

$$\text{where } T\beta_i = c_i \beta_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

\therefore The vector $h(T)\beta = h(c_1)\beta_1 + \dots + h(c_k)\beta_k$ is in W for every polynomial $h(x)$.

Now, $p(x) = (x - c_j)q(x)$ for some polynomial

$$q(x) \text{ also } q(x) - q(c_j) = (x - c_j)h(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q(T)\alpha - q(c_j)\alpha &= h(T)(T - c_j I)\alpha \\ &= h(T)\beta \end{aligned}$$

But $h(T)\beta$ lies in W .

Since $0 = p(T)\alpha$

$$= [(T - c_j)q(T)]\alpha$$

$$= (T - c_j I)(q(T)\alpha)$$

The vector $q(T)\alpha$ is in W .

$\therefore q(c_j)\alpha$ is in W .

Since α is not in W , we have

$$q(c_j) = 0$$

That contradicts the fact that p has distinct roots.

6.5 SIMULTANEOUS TRIANGULATION;

SIMULTANEOUS DIAGONALIZATION

Defn: Let V be a finite dimensional space

and let \mathcal{F} be a family of linear operators on V . The subspace W is invariant under \mathcal{F} if W is invariant under each operator in \mathcal{F} .

Lemma: Let \mathcal{F} be a commuting family of

triangular linear operators on V . Let W be

(62) Proper subspace of V which is invariant under \mathcal{F} . If a vector α in V such that

(a) α is not in W

(b) for each T in \mathcal{F} , the vector $T\alpha$ is in the subspace spanned by α and W .

Proof: Given

- (i) \mathcal{F} be a commuting family of triangulable linear operators on V .
- (ii) Let W be a proper subspace of V , which is invariant under \mathcal{F} .

To P.T If α in V ,

(a) α is not in W

(b) For each T in \mathcal{F} , the vector $T\alpha$ is in the subspace spanned by α in W .

Without loss of generality, we assume that \mathcal{F} contains only a finite number of operators.

Let $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n\}$ be a maximum linearly independent subset of \mathcal{F} .

is a basis for the subspace spanned by \mathcal{F} .

(63)

If α is a vector such that (b) for each τ_i then (b) will hold for operator which is a linear combination $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$.

By the known lemma, we can find vector β , not in W and a scalar c , such that $(\tau_1 - c, I)\beta \in W$.

Let V_1 be the collection of all vectors β in V such that $(\tau_1 - c, I)\beta \in W$. Then V_1 is a subspace of V which is properly larger than W .

Further V_1 is invariant under \mathcal{F} .

If T commutes with τ_1 , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_1 - c, I)(T\beta) &= ((\tau_1 - c, I)T)\beta \\ &= (T(\tau_1 - c, I))\beta \\ &= T((\tau_1 - c, I)\beta) \quad \text{--- (1)} \end{aligned}$$

If β is in V_1 , then $(\tau_1 - c, I)\beta$ is in W since W is invariant under each T in \mathcal{F} . then by (1) $T((\tau_1 - c, I)\beta)$ is in W .

is $T\beta$ is in V_1 , $\forall \beta \in V_1$. $\forall T \in \mathcal{F}$